

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/047,253	01/14/2002	Gregory Copc	CIT1510-4	6270
28213 75	7590 06/02/2004		EXAMINER	
GRAY CARY WARE & FREIDENRICH LLP			PAK, YONG D	
4365 EXECUTIVE DRIVE SUITE 1100 SAN DIEGO, CA 92121-2133			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1652	
				_

DATE MAILED: 06/02/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/047,253	COPE ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Yong D Pak	1652			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) dwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDOI	timely filed lays will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 M	<u>larch 2004</u> .				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under I	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 32-57 and 72-76 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) 38 and 40 is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 32-37, 39, 41-57 and 72-76 is/are re 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	ndrawn from consideration. jected.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct to by the Example 2.	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Stion is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applica ority documents have been rece ou (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ived in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summa				
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail) 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	Date al Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/047,253

Art Unit: 1652

DETAILED ACTION

The amendment filed on March 16, 2004, amending claims 32, 34, 41 and 74, has been entered.

Claims 32-57 and 72-76 are pending.

Election/Restrictions

Claims 38 and 40 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 32-37, 39, 41-57 and 72-76 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 32, and its depended claims, it appears that the phrase "wherein the isopeptidase activity deconjugates a modifier protein" should read "wherein the polypeptide having isopeptidase activity deconjugates a modifier protein".

In claim 32, the hyphen in "of-identifying" (line 1) and "wherein-a" (11) should be removed.

In claim 32, the JAM domain "HXHXXXXXXXXXD" should be identified as SEQ ID NO:1.

Application/Control Number: 10/047,253

Art Unit: 1652

Claim 76 appears to be redundant since the polypeptide of claim 32 recites the property having isopeptidase activity.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed March 16, 2004, with respect to claims 32-37, 39, 41-57 and 72-76 under 35 USC 112, 2nd paragraph have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection of claims 32-37, 39, 41-57 and 72-76 has been withdrawn.

Applicant's arguments filed March 16, 2004, with respect to claims 32-37, 39, 41, 47-57 and 72-76 under 35 USC 103(a) paragraph have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejections of claims 32-37, 39, 41-57 and 72-76 have been withdrawn.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 32-37, 39, 41-57 and 72-76 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over

Application/Control Number: 10/047,253

Art Unit: 1652

claims 37-66 of copending Application No. 10/340,578. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because a method of identifying agents that <u>affect</u> an isopeptidase activity of a polypeptide comprising a JAM domain and a method of identifying agents that <u>modulate</u> an isopeptidase activity of a polypeptide comprising a JAM domain cover an invention of same scope.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

No claim is allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yong Pak whose telephone number is 571-272-0935. The examiner can normally be reached 6:30 A.M. to 5:00 P.M. Monday through Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ponnathapu Achutamurthy can be reached on 571-272-0928. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9306 for regular communications and 703-872-9307 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-1600.

Yong D. Pak Patent Examiner

PONNATHAPU ACHUTAMURTHY SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600